

Diffusion processes with Non-Markovian Transport Coefficients

Noboru Takigawa^{1, *} Sakir Ayik^{2, †} and Sachie Kimura^{1, ‡}

¹ *Department of Physics, Tohoku University, Sendai 980-8578, Japan*

² *Physics Department, Tennessee Technological University, Cookeville, TN 38505*

(February 8, 2008)

Employing time-dependent projection formalism, a Fokker-Planck equation with non-Markovian transport coefficients is derived for large amplitude collective motion. Properties of transport coefficients for diffusion processes in a potential well and along a potential barrier are discussed, and their connection with the fluctuation-dissipation theorem is investigated. In the case of diffusion in a potential well, the formalism naturally leads to the generalization of the well known Einstein relation by including quantum fluctuations in addition to thermal fluctuations. Furthermore, it is shown that at low temperatures diffusion along potential barrier is significantly modified by quantum fluctuations. Explicit expressions for transport coefficients are presented for the case of the Caldeira-Leggett model.

I. INTRODUCTION

The fluctuation-dissipation theorem is a fundamental relationship in open system problems such as the Brownian motion [1]. The most famous one is the Einstein relation, which connects the diffusion coefficient to the friction coefficient with the temperature as the proportional coefficient. This relationship can be easily derived if the bare potential for the Brownian particle, or more generally the collective motion, is flat and if the environment can be approximated by a canonical distribution. It has to be modified if the quantum fluctuation becomes comparable to the thermal fluctuation. When the bare potential for the collective motion is a parabolic well, the modified formula is well known. It resembles the original Einstein relation, in which temperature is replaced by an effective temperature [1,2]. The effective temperature converges to the genuine temperature when the thermal energy dominates the quantal zero point motion energy of the collective motion, and it converges to the zero point motion energy in the opposite limit.

However, there exist only a few investigations when the bare potential of the collective motion is not a potential well but a potential barrier [3,4]. The aim of this paper is to address to this question. Following the standard treatment for deriving the fluctuation-dissipation relation for motion in a potential well, we investigate the connection between moments of the response and the correlation functions for the motion along a potential barrier. We wish to emphasize that this study is important in various problems. One of the examples is the stability of nuclear collective excitation [3,5]. Another example is the fusion between two very heavy nuclei, which is used to try to synthesize superheavy elements. In this case, the fission barrier locates inside the fusion barrier. Consequently, it is not sufficient to overcome the fusion barrier in order for the two nuclei to fuse. They have to overcome also the fission barrier. One possible theoretical approach to this problem is to apply the Fokker Planck equation, which has been originally put forward by Kramers [6] to describe nuclear fission and chemical reactions, to describing the time evolution from the fusion point to the region inside the fission barrier. Though several pioneering works in this method have already been published [7], it is not obvious whether one can apply the original Fokker Planck equation of Kramers as it is. For example, it is required to synthesize superheavy elements at temperatures as low as possible in order to sustain the shell correction energy which yields the barrier against fission by quantum effect. On the other hand, the curvature of the fission barrier is of the order of 1 MeV. One will then need to care about the quantum fluctuation. In this connection, it is highly

*E-mail address: takigawa@nucl.phys.tohoku.ac.jp

†E-mail address: ayik@tnitech.edu

‡E-mail address: sachie@nucl.phys.tohoku.ac.jp

desirable to generalize the original Fokker Planck equation by Kramers by taking quantum fluctuation into account for the diffusion process along a potential barrier. The formalism presented here provides a first step in this direction. Following a weak-coupling treatment, we derive a generalized Fokker-Planck equation which incorporates quantum statistical effects in terms of non-Markovian transport coefficients.

The paper is organized as follows. In section II, we sketch the basic ideas of our theory to describe the time evolution of coupled collective and microscopic motions. It is a generalization of the quasi linear response theory in ref. [8] to include a quantum effect of the collective motion. We thus derive the generalized Fokker-Planck equation for the collective motion with non-Markovian transport coefficients. In section III, we discuss the properties of the force exerted by the microscopic motion, which we sometimes call the bath space, to determine the average classical trajectory of the collective motion. In section IV, we represent the response and the correlation functions in terms of the spectral functions [9], and discuss their symmetry properties. In section V, we discuss the memory times of the response and the correlation functions. In order to have some concrete ideas, we resort to the Caldeira-Leggett model [10] to this end. Section VI deals with the collective motion in a flat potential or in a parabolic well and derives the well known fluctuation dissipation relation including the effect of quantum fluctuation. In sect.VII we consider the case of Ohmic dissipation of the Caldeira-Leggett model, and give the explicit expressions of the odd moment of the response function and the even moment of the correlation function for the motion in a potential well and the odd moment of the response function for the motion along a potential barrier. In sect.VIII we study the properties of the even moment of the correlation function for the collective motion along a parabolic potential barrier by taking the case of the Ohmic dissipation of the Caldeira-Leggett model with a cut-off function as an example. We show the strong time dependence of the even moment of the correlation function and show that the asymptotic value as the time goes to infinity converges to a classical expectation value at high temperatures, but significantly deviates from it at low temperatures. We summarize the paper in sect. IX. Appendix A gives the main steps of the perturbation treatment of the coupled von-Neumann equations for the collective and microscopic motions and show how the reduced von-Neumann equation with non-Markovian transport coefficients is obtained. Appendix B is added to briefly sketch the symmetry property of the spectral function which is useful to derive the fluctuation-dissipation theorem. Appendix C collects some basic formulae and the spectral function of the Caldeira-Leggett model. In Appendix D we present a general expression of the m-th moment of the response function for the Caldeira-Leggett model. We give an explicit expression of the linear friction for the case of Ohmic dissipation. Also we show that the linear friction is absent in the case of the super-Ohmic dissipation, and that the leading order is the n-th order friction if the spectral density is proportional to ω^n .

II. BASIC EQUATIONS OF THE QUASI LINEAR RESPONSE THEORY

A. Galilei transformation

We call the subspace of the collective motion and that of microscopic motions or environments as the subspaces A and B, respectively, and use A and B as the indices to distinguish the quantities referring to each subspace. The mass, coordinate and momentum for the collective motion are denoted by M , q and p , respectively, while those for the environments by m_i , x_i , p_i , i being 1,2,.... We often use x to denote the ensemble of the coordinates of the environmental degrees of freedom. As often done, quantum operators are distinguished from the corresponding c-numbers $\mathcal{O}(t)$ with $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$.

We assume that the Hamiltonian for the total system is given by

$$\hat{H} = \hat{H}_A + \hat{H}_B + \hat{V}_c(\hat{q}, \hat{x}) \quad (1)$$

with

$$\hat{H}_A = \frac{\hat{p}^2}{2M} + \hat{U}(\hat{q}) \quad (2)$$

where $\hat{U}(\hat{q})$ and $\hat{V}_c(\hat{q}, \hat{x})$ are the bare potential for the collective motion and the coupling Hamiltonian, respectively. The time evolution of the density operator of the total system is determined by the von-Neumann equation,

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \hat{\rho}(t) = [\hat{H}, \hat{\rho}(t)] \quad (3)$$

We first introduce the classical variables $q(t)$ and $p(t)$ in the spirit of the Ehrenfest theorem by

$$q(t) = Tr(\hat{q}\hat{\rho}(t)), \quad p(t) = Tr(\hat{p}\hat{\rho}(t)). \quad (4)$$

Eq.3 leads to

$$\dot{q}(t) = \frac{p(t)}{M}, \quad (5)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{p}(t) &= \frac{1}{i\hbar} Tr([\hat{p}, \hat{H}]\hat{\rho}(t)) \\ &= \frac{1}{i\hbar} Tr(\{[\hat{p}, \hat{U}(\hat{q})] + [\hat{p}, \hat{V}_c(\hat{q}, \hat{x})]\}\hat{\rho}(t)) \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

We then introduce the Galilei transformation operator and the density operator in the Galilei transformed coordinate system by

$$\hat{G}(t) = \exp\left[\frac{i}{\hbar}(p(t)\hat{q} - q(t)\hat{p})\right] \quad (7)$$

$$\hat{\rho}(t) = \hat{G}(t)\hat{\rho}_G(t)\hat{G}^\dagger(t) \quad (8)$$

It is easy to prove that

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \hat{\rho}_G(t) = [\hat{H}_G(t), \hat{\rho}_G(t)] \quad (9)$$

with

$$\hat{H}_G(t) = \hat{G}^\dagger(t)\hat{H}\hat{G}(t) - i\hbar\hat{G}^\dagger(t)\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\hat{G}(t) \quad (10)$$

The Galilei transformation is convenient to describe a large amplitude collective motion, because one then needs to handle only the fluctuations around the mean values. Noting that,

$$\hat{G}^\dagger(t)\hat{q}\hat{G}(t) = \hat{q} + q(t) \quad (11)$$

$$\hat{G}^\dagger(t)\hat{p}\hat{G}(t) = \hat{p} + p(t) \quad (12)$$

we expand $\hat{H}_G$ in the power series of the operators of the collective motion

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{H}_G &= c - number + \hat{p}\left\{\frac{p(t)}{M} - \dot{q}(t)\right\} + \hat{q}\left\{\dot{p}(t) + \frac{\partial U(q(t))}{\partial q(t)} + \frac{\partial V_c(q(t), \hat{x})}{\partial q(t)}\right\} \\ &+ \hat{H}_B(\hat{x}) + V_c(q(t), \hat{x}) + \frac{\hat{p}^2}{2M} + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial q^2} + \frac{\partial^2 V_c}{\partial q^2}\right)_{q(t)}\hat{q}^2 + \hat{\mathcal{V}}^{(3)} \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

where $(\frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial q^2} + \frac{\partial^2 V_c}{\partial q^2})_{q(t)}$ is the value of $(\frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial q^2} + \frac{\partial^2 V_c}{\partial q^2})$ at $q = q(t)$ and $\hat{\mathcal{V}}^{(3)}$ is the third and higher order terms in fluctuating quantities. We note that by taking the time derivative of the equation

$$Tr(\hat{p}\hat{\rho}_G(t)) = 0 \quad (14)$$

we obtain

$$\dot{p}(t) = -\frac{\partial U}{\partial q} - \text{Tr}\left(\frac{\partial V_c(q(t), \hat{x})}{\partial q} \hat{\rho}_G(t)\right) + \mathcal{F} \quad (15)$$

where $\mathcal{F}$ is the force exerted by the third or higher order fluctuations and it reads

$$\mathcal{F} = \frac{1}{3!} \text{Tr}\left\{\left(\frac{\partial^3 U}{\partial q^3} + \frac{\partial^3 V_c}{\partial q^3}\right) \hat{q}^3 \hat{\rho}_G(t)\right\} + \dots \quad (16)$$

We ignore the $\hat{\mathcal{V}}^{(3)}$ in eq.(13) and correspondingly the force $\mathcal{F}$ in eq.(15) in this work. On the other hand, the $\hat{q}^2$ term on the right hand side of eq.(13), which has been ignored in [8], is essential to discuss the quantum fluctuation, which is the major issue in this paper. Using eqs.(5) and (15) the Hamiltonian in the Galilei transformed coordinate system can be written as

$$\hat{H}_G = c - \text{number} + \hat{q} \cdot \hat{F}(q(t), \hat{x}) + \hat{h}_B(t) + \frac{\hat{p}^2}{2M} + \frac{1}{2} C(q(t)) \hat{q}^2 \quad (17)$$

where $\hat{h}_B(t)$, the effective Hamiltonian for the subspace B at time t, the effective coupling force $\hat{F}(q(t), \hat{x})$ and the curvature parameter $C(q(t))$ are given by

$$\hat{h}_B(t) = \hat{H}_B(\hat{x}) + V_c(q(t), \hat{x}) \quad (18)$$

$$\hat{F}(q(t), \hat{x}) = \frac{\partial V_c(q(t), \hat{x})}{\partial q} - \text{Tr}\left(\frac{\partial V_c(q(t), \hat{x})}{\partial q} \hat{\rho}_G(t)\right) \quad (19)$$

$$C(q(t)) = \left(\frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial q^2} + \frac{\partial^2 V_c}{\partial q^2}\right)_{q(t)} \quad (20)$$

The von-Neumann equation for $\hat{\rho}_G(t)$ reads

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \hat{\rho}_G(t) = [\hat{h}_B(t) + \hat{q} \cdot \hat{F}(q(t), \hat{x}) + \frac{\hat{p}^2}{2M} + \frac{1}{2} C(q(t)) \hat{q}^2, \hat{\rho}_G(t)]. \quad (21)$$

B. Fokker-Planck equation for the collective motion

We now introduce the time evolution operator for the subspace B, $\hat{u}_B$, by

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \hat{u}_B(t, t_0) = \hat{h}_B(t) \hat{u}_B(t, t_0) \quad (22)$$

with the initial condition

$$\hat{u}_B(t_0, t_0) = 1 \quad (23)$$

and the density operator $\hat{D}(t)$ by

$$\hat{\rho}_G(t) = \hat{u}_B(t, t_0) \hat{D}(t) \hat{u}_B^\dagger(t, t_0) \quad (24)$$

The density operator $\hat{D}(t)$ obeys

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \hat{D}(t) = [\hat{q} \cdot \hat{f}(q(t), \hat{x}), \hat{D}(t)] + \left[\frac{\hat{p}^2}{2M} + \frac{1}{2} C(q(t)) \hat{q}^2, \hat{D}(t)\right] \quad (25)$$

where

$$\hat{f}(t) = \hat{u}_B^\dagger(t, t_0) \hat{F} \hat{u}_B(t, t_0) \quad (26)$$

We introduce the reduced density operators for the subspaces A and B by

$$\hat{D}_A(t) = Tr_B \hat{D}(t), \quad \hat{D}_B(t) = Tr_A \hat{D}(t) \quad (27)$$

and the correlation density by

$$\hat{D}_C(t) = \hat{D}(t) - \hat{D}_A(t) \cdot \hat{D}_B(t) \quad (28)$$

These density operators obey the following coupled equations

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \hat{D}_A(t) = Tr_B [\hat{q} \cdot \hat{f}(q(t), \hat{x}), \hat{D}_C(t)] + [\frac{\hat{p}^2}{2M} + \frac{1}{2}C(q(t))\hat{q}^2, \hat{D}_A(t)] \quad (29)$$

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \hat{D}_B(t) = Tr_A [\hat{q} \cdot \hat{f}(q(t), \hat{x}), \hat{D}_C(t)] \quad (30)$$

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \hat{D}_C(t) = [\hat{q} \cdot \hat{f}(q(t), \hat{x}), \hat{D}_A(t) \cdot \hat{D}_B(t)] + (1 - \hat{D}_A(t)Tr_A - \hat{D}_B(t)Tr_B)[\hat{q} \cdot \hat{f}(q(t), \hat{x}), \hat{D}_C(t)] \\ + [\frac{\hat{p}^2}{2M} + \frac{1}{2}C(q(t))\hat{q}^2, \hat{D}_C(t)] \quad (31)$$

Following a similar treatment presented in [8], whose major steps are briefly described in the Appendix A, we derive a diffusion equation for the collective motion by handling eqs.(29) through (31) in the second order perturbation theory with respect to the fluctuating force $\hat{f}$. In the derivation, we ignore the time dependence of the curvature parameter C , and introduce the moment functions $\mathcal{C}(t, t_1)$ and $\mathcal{S}(t, t_1)$ by

$$\hat{u}_A^{(0)}(t, t_1) \hat{q} \hat{u}_A^{(0)\dagger}(t, t_1) = \mathcal{C}(t, t_1) \hat{q} - \mathcal{S}(t, t_1) \hat{p} \quad (32)$$

where

$$\hat{u}_A^{(0)}(t, t_1) = \exp[\frac{1}{i\hbar} \{ \frac{\hat{p}^2}{2M} + \frac{1}{2}C(q(t))\hat{q}^2 \} (t - t_1)] \quad (33)$$

They are

$$\mathcal{C}(t, t_1) = \cos[\Omega(t - t_1)] \quad (34)$$

$$\mathcal{S}(t, t_1) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{MC}} \sin[\Omega(t - t_1)] \quad (35)$$

with

$$\Omega = \sqrt{\frac{C}{M}} \quad (36)$$

when $C \geq 0$ and

$$\mathcal{C}(t, t_1) = \cosh[\Omega(t - t_1)] \quad (37)$$

$$\mathcal{S}(t, t_1) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{M|C|}} \sinh[\Omega(t - t_1)] \quad (38)$$

with

$$\Omega = \sqrt{\frac{|C|}{M}} \quad (39)$$

when $C < 0$.

Furthermore we define the response and the correlation functions by

$$\chi_{\alpha\beta}^{(-)}(t, t_1) = \frac{i}{\hbar} Tr_B ([\hat{f}_\alpha(t), \hat{f}_\beta(t_1)] \hat{D}_B(t_1)) \quad (40)$$

$$\chi_{\alpha\beta}^{(+)}(t, t_1) = \frac{1}{2} Tr_B ([\hat{f}_\alpha(t), \hat{f}_\beta(t_1)]_+ \hat{D}_B(t_1)) \quad (41)$$

and their moments by

$$\chi_{\alpha\beta}^{(-E)}(t) = \int_{t_0}^t dt_1 \mathcal{C}(t, t_1) \chi_{\alpha\beta}^{(-)}(t, t_1) \quad (42)$$

$$\chi_{\alpha\beta}^{(-O)}(t) = \int_{t_0}^t dt_1 \mathcal{S}(t, t_1) \chi_{\alpha\beta}^{(-)}(t, t_1) \quad (43)$$

$$\chi_{\alpha\beta}^{(+E)}(t) = \int_{t_0}^t dt_1 \mathcal{C}(t, t_1) \chi_{\alpha\beta}^{(+)}(t, t_1) \quad (44)$$

$$\chi_{\alpha\beta}^{(+O)}(t) = \int_{t_0}^t dt_1 \mathcal{S}(t, t_1) \chi_{\alpha\beta}^{(+)}(t, t_1) \quad (45)$$

In these equations the lower indices α and β represent the spatial component in the case when the diffusion process takes place in a multi-dimensional space. The upper indices E and O stand for even and odd, respectively. The von-Neumann equation for $\hat{D}_A(t)$ is then given by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \hat{D}_A(t) &= \frac{1}{i\hbar} \left[\frac{\hat{p}^2}{2M} + \frac{1}{2} C \hat{q}^2, \hat{D}_A(t) \right] \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{\hbar^2} [\hat{q}_\alpha, [\chi_{\alpha\beta}^{(+E)}(t) \hat{q}_\beta - \chi_{\alpha\beta}^{(+O)}(t) \hat{p}_\beta, \hat{D}_A(t)]] \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2i\hbar} [\hat{q}_\alpha, [\chi_{\alpha\beta}^{(-E)}(t) \hat{q}_\beta - \chi_{\alpha\beta}^{(-O)}(t) \hat{p}_\beta, \hat{D}_A(t)]_+] \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

Taking the Wigner transform of each side of eq.(46) we obtain the Fokker Planck equation for the Wigner distribution function for the subspace of the collective motion $D_{AW}(q, p, t)$ [8] defined by

$$D_{AW}(p, q, t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dr e^{-ipr/\hbar} \langle q + \frac{1}{2}r | \hat{D}_A(t) | q - \frac{1}{2}r \rangle \quad (47)$$

The result reads

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} D_{AW}(t) &= \left(-\frac{1}{M} p_\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial q_\alpha} + C q_\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial p_\alpha} - \chi_{\alpha\beta}^{(-E)} q_\beta \frac{\partial}{\partial p_\alpha} + \frac{1}{M} \chi_{\alpha\beta}^{(-O)} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_\alpha} p_\beta \right) D_{AW}(p, q, t) \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{1}{M} \chi_{\alpha\beta}^{(+O)} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial p_\alpha \partial q_\beta} + \chi_{\alpha\beta}^{(+E)} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial p_\alpha \partial p_\beta} \right) D_{AW}(p, q, t) \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

This is a generalization of the well known Fokker Planck equation in literatures [6,11]. Although it appears to be a Markovian equation, the memory effects are effectively incorporated in the transport coefficients. As a result, the formalism provides a basis for describing quantum statistical effects of collective motion. We also note that, since the derivation of eq.(48) is based on a perturbation theory with respect to the fluctuating force $\hat{f}$, the formalism is valid in the weak coupling limit, where the time scales of the response and correlation functions, i.e. their memory times $\tau_c^{(-)}$ and $\tau_c^{(+)}$, are much shorter than the time scale, i.e. the relaxation time τ_R , of the collective motion [12,13]. In sect.V, we discuss the properties of the response and correlation functions for the Caldeira-Leggett model, and show that their memory times $\tau_c^{(-)}$ and $\tau_c^{(+)}$ get very small if the cut-off frequency is large. This is consistent with the well known fact that the memory time gets very short if the collective motion couples with many incoherent degrees of freedom.

C. Coupled equations to determine fluctuations of the collective motion

We note that the average values of q and p are zero in the Galilei transformed space, and that the solution of eq.(48) is a Gaussian. Therefore, one can put

$$D_{AW}(p, q, t) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3/2} \Delta^{1/2}} \times \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2\Delta} \sum_{i,j=1,2} y_i y_j \tilde{\sigma}_{i,j} \right] \quad (49)$$

$$\Delta = \sigma_{qq}\sigma_{pp} - \sigma_{qp}^2 \quad (50)$$

where $y_1 = q$ and $y_2 = p$ and the 2×2 matrix $\tilde{\sigma}$ is the inverse matrix of the 2×2 matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{qq} & \sigma_{qp} \\ \sigma_{qp} & \sigma_{pp} \end{pmatrix} \quad (51)$$

which determines the fluctuations, i.e. the mean square deviations from the average values. The values of σ_{ij} are obtained by solving the following coupled equations given by eq.(48),

$$\frac{d}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{qq}(t) \\ \sigma_{qp}(t) \\ \sigma_{pp}(t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{2}{M} & 0 \\ -(C - \chi^{(-E)}) & -\frac{\chi^{(-O)}}{M} & \frac{1}{M} \\ 0 & -2(C - \chi^{(-E)}) & -2\frac{\chi^{(-O)}}{M} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{qq}(t) \\ \sigma_{qp}(t) \\ \sigma_{pp}(t) \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{\chi^{(+O)}}{M} \\ 2\chi^{(+E)} \end{pmatrix} \quad (52)$$

D. The Wigner distribution function in the original space

The Wigner distribution function for the collective motion in the original space fixed frame is given by

$$\rho_{AW}(q, p, t) = D_{AW}(q - q(t), p - p(t), t) \quad (53)$$

once the Wigner distribution function in the Galilei transformed space D_{AW} is obtained from eqs.(49) and (52).

III. CLASSICAL TRAJECTORY OF THE COLLECTIVE MOTION

The classical trajectory of the collective motion given by $q(t)$ and $p(t)$ are determined by eqs.(5) and (15). Let us express the force induced by the coupling to microscopic motions in terms of the response function. It is useful, for example, to discuss the fluctuation-dissipation theorem. We first note that to the same order as eq.(46) the density operator for the subspace B, $\hat{\rho}_B(t) = Tr_A \hat{\rho}(t)$, obeys the following von-Neumann equation

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \hat{\rho}_B(t) = [\hat{h}_B(t), \hat{\rho}_B(t)] \quad (54)$$

We determine $\hat{\rho}_B(t)$ by a perturbation theory up to the second order of the coupling V_c . The effective force exerted on the collective motion from the bath space is then given by

$$F_{BA} = -Tr_B \left(\frac{\partial V_c(q(t), \hat{x})}{\partial q} \hat{\rho}_B(t) \right) \quad (55)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= -Tr_B \left(\frac{\partial V_c(q(t), \hat{x})}{\partial q} e^{\frac{1}{i\hbar} \hat{H}_B(t-t_0)} \hat{\rho}_B(t_0) e^{-\frac{1}{i\hbar} \hat{H}_B(t-t_0)} \right) \\ &- \frac{1}{i\hbar} \int_{t_0}^t dt_1 Tr_B \left(\frac{\partial V_c(q(t), \hat{x})}{\partial q} [e^{\frac{1}{i\hbar} \hat{H}_B(t-t_1)} V_c(q(t_1), \hat{x}) e^{-\frac{1}{i\hbar} \hat{H}_B(t-t_1)}, e^{\frac{1}{i\hbar} \hat{H}_B(t-t_0)} \hat{\rho}_B(t_0) e^{-\frac{1}{i\hbar} \hat{H}_B(t-t_0)}] \right) \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

A. Limit of ignoring the quantal effect

There are two alternative ways to write down the connection between the friction tensor and the moments of the response function. The standard way is to ignore the quantum or the memory effect and relate the friction coefficient to the first moment of the response function. As often done, in this approach, we approximate $\hat{\rho}_B(t_0)$ by a canonical distribution $\hat{\rho}_B^{(eq)}(t) = e^{\beta(t)(\mathcal{F} - \hat{H}_B)}$, ignore the time variation of β , and expand $V_c(q(t_1), \hat{x})$ in the square bracket on the r.h.s. of eq.(56) around $t_1 = t$. We then obtain

$$\begin{aligned} F_{BA} = & -Tr_B\left(\frac{\partial V_c(q(t), \hat{x})}{\partial q}\hat{\rho}_B^{(eq)}(t)\right) \\ & - \frac{1}{i\hbar} \int_0^{t-t_0} d\tau Tr_B\left(\left[\frac{\partial V_c(q(t), \hat{x})}{\partial q}, e^{\frac{1}{i\hbar}\hat{H}_B\tau} V_c(q(t), \hat{x}) e^{-\frac{1}{i\hbar}\hat{H}_B\tau}\right] \hat{\rho}_B^{(eq)}(t)\right) \\ & - \dot{q}(t)\chi^{(-1)}(t) + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

where the first moment of the response function $\chi^{(-1)}(t)$ is defined by

$$\chi_{\alpha\beta}^{(-1)}(t) = \int_{t_0}^t dt_1 (t - t_1) \chi_{\alpha\beta}^{(-)}(t, t_1) \quad (58)$$

Eq.(57) is the expansion with respect to the moments of the response function, whose higher order terms can be ignored if the memory time is short enough. It shows that the linear friction coefficient is given by the first moment of the response function in such cases. Note, however, that it becomes zero in some cases. As we show in Appendix D, the super-Ohmic dissipation is one of such examples.

B. Inclusion of quantum effect

The second way is to take the quantum or the memory effects into account and represent the friction coefficient in terms of the odd moment of the response function $\chi^{(-O)}$ to be consistent with eq.(48). In this method, we first remark the following relationship between the classical variables at two different times,

$$q(t_1) = \mathcal{C}(t, t_1)q(t) - M\mathcal{S}(t, t_1)\dot{q}(t). \quad (59)$$

This is valid when the classical motion evolves along a parabolic potential well or a parabolic barrier without friction, i.e. in the harmonic approximation. We expand $V_c(q(t_1), \hat{x})$ in the last term on the r.h.s. of eq.(56) in powers of $q(t_1) - q(t)$ around $q(t)$, and use eq.(59). This is allowed to the lowest order of the coupling strength. We then obtain

$$\begin{aligned} F_{BA} = & -Tr_B\left(\frac{\partial V_c(q(t), \hat{x})}{\partial q}\hat{\rho}_B^{(eq)}(t)\right) \\ & - \frac{1}{i\hbar} \int_0^{t-t_0} d\tau Tr_B\left(\left[\frac{\partial V_c(q(t), \hat{x})}{\partial q}, e^{\frac{1}{i\hbar}\hat{H}_B\tau} V_c(q(t), \hat{x}) e^{-\frac{1}{i\hbar}\hat{H}_B\tau}\right] \hat{\rho}_B^{(eq)}(t)\right) \\ & + q(t) \int_{t_0}^t dt_1 [\mathcal{C}(t, t_1) - 1] \chi^{(-)}(t, t_1) \\ & - M\dot{q}(t)\chi^{(-O)}(t) + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (60)$$

We note that the odd moment of the response function $\chi_{\alpha\beta}^{(-O)}(t)$ converges to the first moment of the response function in the limit of small Ω , i.e. in the limit of small C . More precisely, for small Ω

$$\chi^{(-O)}(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{M}\chi^{(-1)}(t) - \mathcal{O}(\Omega^2) & (C > 0) \\ \frac{1}{M}\chi^{(-1)}(t) + \mathcal{O}(\Omega^2) & (C < 0) \end{cases} \quad (61)$$

The results in eqs.(57) and (60) agree to each other in this limit.

IV. PROPERTIES OF THE RESPONSE AND THE CORRELATION FUNCTIONS IN THE LINEAR RESPONSE THEORY

A. Spectral function

We first note that $Tr_B(\hat{f}_\alpha(t)\hat{f}_\beta(t_1)\hat{D}_B(t_1))$, which appears in the definition of the response and the correlation functions, can be rewritten as

$$Tr_B(\hat{f}_\alpha(t)\hat{f}_\beta(t_1)\hat{D}_B(t_1)) = Tr_B(\hat{F}_\alpha(t)\hat{u}_B(t, t_1)\hat{F}_\beta(t_1)\hat{u}_B^\dagger(t, t_1)\hat{\rho}_B(t)) \quad (62)$$

where

$$\hat{u}_B(t, t_1) = \hat{u}_B(t, t_0)\hat{u}_B^\dagger(t_1, t_0) \quad (63)$$

Similarly,

$$Tr_B(\hat{f}_\beta(t_1)\hat{f}_\alpha(t)\hat{D}_B(t_1)) = Tr_B(\hat{u}_B(t, t_1)\hat{F}_\beta(t_1)\hat{u}_B^\dagger(t, t_1)\hat{F}_\alpha(t)\hat{\rho}_B(t)) \quad (64)$$

We consider the collective motion in the weak coupling limit, for which $\tau_c^{(-,+)} \ll \tau_R$, so that the change of the collective variable during the decay time $\tau_c^{(-,+)}$ of the collision kernel is small. Then, we can approximate these relations by

$$Tr_B(\hat{f}_\alpha(t)\hat{f}_\beta(t_1)\hat{D}_B(t_1)) \approx Tr_B(\hat{F}_\alpha(t)\hat{u}_B(t, t_1)\hat{F}_\beta(t)\hat{u}_B^\dagger(t, t_1)\hat{\rho}_B(t)) \quad (65)$$

$$Tr_B(\hat{f}_\beta(t_1)\hat{f}_\alpha(t)\hat{D}_B(t_1)) \approx Tr_B(\hat{u}_B(t, t_1)\hat{F}_\beta(t)\hat{u}_B^\dagger(t, t_1)\hat{F}_\alpha(t)\hat{\rho}_B(t)) \quad (66)$$

where the time evolution operator $\hat{u}_B(t, t_1)$ is given by

$$\hat{u}_B(t, t_1) = T e^{\frac{1}{\hbar} \int_{t_1}^t \hat{h}_B(t') dt'} \quad (67)$$

with the time ordering operator T . For time intervals much shorter than the relaxation time of the collective motion, it can be approximated as,

$$\hat{u}_B(t, t_1) = \hat{u}_B(t; \tau = t - t_1) \approx e^{\frac{1}{\hbar} \hat{h}_B(t) \tau} \quad (68)$$

We now define the spectral functions by

$$J_{\alpha, \beta}(t; \omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\tau e^{i\omega\tau} Tr_B(\hat{F}_\alpha(t)\hat{u}_B(t; \tau)\hat{F}_\beta(t)\hat{u}_B^\dagger(t; \tau)\hat{\rho}_B(t)) \quad (69)$$

$$\approx \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\tau e^{i\omega\tau} Tr_B(\hat{F}_\alpha(t)e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} \hat{h}_B(t) \tau} \hat{F}_\beta(t)e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \hat{h}_B(t) \tau} \hat{\rho}_B(t)) \quad (70)$$

Inversion of these equations yields,

$$Tr_B(\hat{F}_\alpha(t)\hat{u}_B(t; \tau)\hat{F}_\beta(t)\hat{u}_B^\dagger(t; \tau)\hat{\rho}_B(t)) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} e^{-i\omega\tau} J_{\alpha, \beta}(t; \omega) \quad (71)$$

$$Tr_B(\hat{u}_B(t; \tau)\hat{F}_\beta(t_1)\hat{u}_B^\dagger(t; \tau)\hat{F}_\alpha(t)\hat{\rho}_B(t)) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} e^{i\omega\tau} J_{\beta, \alpha}(t; \omega) \quad (72)$$

B. Symmetry properties

We introduce a further approximation by replacing the density operator of the subspace B with a canonical distribution,

$$\hat{\rho}_B(t) \approx \exp\{\beta(t)(\mathcal{F} - \hat{h}_B(t))\} \quad (73)$$

As we show in Appendix B, the resultant spectral function has the following symmetry property

$$J_{\beta,\alpha}(t; \omega) = e^{\beta(t)\hbar\omega} J_{\alpha,\beta}(t; -\omega) \quad (74)$$

One can then rewrite eq.(72) as

$$Tr_B(\hat{u}_B(t; \tau) \hat{F}_\beta(t_1) \hat{u}_B^\dagger(t; \tau) \hat{F}_\alpha(t) \hat{\rho}_B(t)) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} e^{-i\omega\tau} e^{-\beta(t)\hbar\omega} J_{\alpha,\beta}(t; \omega) \quad (75)$$

Consequently, the response and the correlation functions can be expressed as

$$\chi_{\alpha,\beta}^{(+)}(t, t_1) = \chi_{\alpha,\beta}^{(+)}(t; \tau = t - t_1) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} e^{-i\omega\tau} \frac{1 + e^{-\beta(t)\hbar\omega}}{2} J_{\alpha,\beta}(t; \omega) \quad (76)$$

$$\chi_{\alpha,\beta}^{(-)}(t, t_1) = \chi_{\alpha,\beta}^{(-)}(t; \tau = t - t_1) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} e^{-i\omega\tau} \frac{i}{\hbar} \{1 - e^{-\beta(t)\hbar\omega}\} J_{\alpha,\beta}(t; \omega) \quad (77)$$

Also, one can prove the following symmetry properties

$$\chi_{\alpha,\alpha}^{(+)}(t; -\tau) = \chi_{\alpha,\alpha}^{(+)}(t; \tau) \quad (78)$$

$$\chi_{\alpha,\alpha}^{(-)}(t; -\tau) = -\chi_{\alpha,\alpha}^{(-)}(t; \tau) \quad (79)$$

Furthermore, if we define

$$\tilde{\chi}_{\alpha,\alpha}^{(+)}(t; \omega) = \int_0^\infty d\tau \cos\omega\tau \chi_{\alpha,\alpha}^{(+)}(t; \tau) \quad (80)$$

$$\tilde{\chi}_{\alpha,\alpha}^{(-)}(t; \omega) = \int_0^\infty d\tau \sin\omega\tau \chi_{\alpha,\alpha}^{(-)}(t; \tau) \quad (81)$$

then we obtain

$$\tilde{\chi}_{\alpha,\alpha}^{(+)}(t; \omega) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1 + e^{-\beta(t)\hbar\omega}}{2} J_{\alpha,\alpha}(t; \omega) \quad (82)$$

$$\tilde{\chi}_{\alpha,\alpha}^{(-)}(t; \omega) = \frac{1}{2\hbar} \{1 - e^{-\beta(t)\hbar\omega}\} J_{\alpha,\alpha}(t; \omega) \quad (83)$$

V. MEMORY TIMES OF THE RESPONSE AND CORRELATION FUNCTIONS

An important issue to further proceed is the memory times of the response and the correlation functions. In order to see their connection to various quantities of the system such as the properties of the environments, or the coupling Hamiltonian and the temperature, we consider explicitly the case of Feynman-Vernon model [14], which is a popular model in open system problems and has been widely used to discuss the macroscopic quantum tunneling since the seminal work of Caldeira and Leggett [10]. Here we discuss the case of Ohmic dissipation. It is easy to show that the response function is given by

$$\chi_{\alpha,\alpha}^{(-)}(t; \tau) = \frac{2}{\pi} \left(\frac{df}{dq}\right)^2 \eta \int_0^\infty \sin(\omega\tau) \omega g(\omega) d\omega \quad (84)$$

where $g(\omega)$ is the cut off function (see Appendix C). Using its explicit form, we have

$$\chi_{\alpha,\alpha}^{(-)}(t;\tau) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \left(\frac{df}{dq}\right)^2 \eta \cdot \omega_c^3 \cdot \tau \cdot e^{-\frac{1}{4}\omega_c^2 \tau^2} & (\text{Gaussian cut-off}) \\ \left(\frac{df}{dq}\right)^2 \eta \cdot \omega_c^2 \cdot e^{-\omega_c \tau} & (\text{Drude regularization}) \end{cases} \quad (85)$$

Eq.(85) shows that the memory time gets shorter for larger cut-off frequency. On the other hand, the correlation function is given by

$$\chi_{\alpha,\alpha}^{(+)}(t;\tau) = \frac{\hbar\eta}{\pi} \left(\frac{df}{dq}\right)^2 \cdot T \cdot \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega \cos(\omega\tau) \cdot \frac{\omega}{2T} \coth\left(\frac{\beta(t)\hbar\omega}{2}\right) \cdot g(\omega) \quad (86)$$

Figure 1 shows the correlation function $\chi^{(+)}(t,\tau)$ as a function of τ for three values of the cut-off frequency and for a given temperature $T=1$ MeV. Since we consider a linear coupling, $\chi^{(+)}(t;\tau)$ is independent of time t , so that we denoted the ordinate as $\chi^{(+)}(\tau)$ instead of $\chi^{(+)}(t,\tau)$. The correlation function is normalized to 1.0 at $\tau = 0$. It decreases rapidly with τ and converges to zero after passing through a minimum as τ approaches infinity. Figure 2 shows the correlation function as a function of τ for three values of temperature and for $\hbar\omega_c = 20.0$ MeV. It exhibits a similar behavior as in figure 1. We define the memory time $\tau_c^{(+)}$ as the time interval during which the correlation function becomes e^{-1} of its value at $\tau = 0$. The horizontal dashed line in Figs.1 and 2 denotes the position of e^{-1} . Figure 3 shows the deduced memory time of the correlation function as a function of the cut-off frequency for three temperatures studied in Fig.2. The memory times for $T=0.1$ MeV and 1.0 MeV are indistinguishable in the figure. Figure 4 shows the memory time as a function of the temperature for three values of the cut-off frequency. These results show that the memory time is nearly independent of temperature T and the cut-off frequency ω_c provided $\hbar\omega_c > 5$ MeV, and its magnitude is around $\tau_c^{(+)} \approx (0.5 - 3) \cdot 10^{-22}$ second. Consequently, when the temperature is comparable or even lower than the energy of the quantum zero point motion, a sufficiently large cut-off frequency guarantees a short memory time even at such low temperatures.

VI. FLUCTUATION-DISSIPATION THEOREM FOR A FLAT POTENTIAL OR A POTENTIAL WELL IN THE SHORT MEMORY TIME APPROXIMATION

We are now ready to derive the fluctuation-dissipation theorem in the case, where $C \geq 0$, i.e. for the diffusion process in a flat potential or in a potential well. We first change the integration variable in eq.(43) from t_1 to $\tau = t - t_1$

$$\chi_{\alpha\alpha}^{(-O)}(t) = \int_0^{t-t_0} d\tau \mathcal{S}(t;\tau) \chi_{\alpha\alpha}^{(-)}(t;\tau) \quad (87)$$

where the quantity $\mathcal{S}(t;\tau)$ is given by,

$$\mathcal{S}(t,t_1) = \mathcal{S}(t;\tau = t - t_1) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{MC}} \sin[\Omega\tau] \quad (88)$$

We assume that the memory time of the response function $\tau_c^{(-)}$ is much shorter than the relaxation time of the collective motion τ_R . This condition is satisfied in the weak coupling limit if the collective motion couples with many incoherent environmental degrees of freedom as mentioned before, or if the cut off frequency is high in the case of Caldeira-Leggett model as shown explicitly by eq.(85). Then, replacing the upper limit of the integration in eq.(87) by ∞ , the odd moment of the response function becomes,

$$\chi_{\alpha\alpha}^{(-O)}(t) \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{MC}} \int_0^{\infty} d\tau \sin\Omega\tau \chi_{\alpha\alpha}^{(-)}(t;\tau) \quad (89)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{MC}} \tilde{\chi}_{\alpha,\alpha}^{(-)}(t;\Omega) \quad (90)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{MC}} \frac{1}{2\hbar} \{1 - e^{-\beta(t)\hbar\Omega}\} J_{\alpha,\alpha}(t;\Omega) \quad (91)$$

Assuming that the memory time of the correlation function is also much shorter than the relaxation time of the collective motion, i.e. by assuming $\tau_c^{(+)} \ll \tau_R$, we obtain

$$\chi_{\alpha\alpha}^{(+E)}(t) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1 + e^{-\beta(t)\hbar\Omega}}{2} J_{\alpha,\alpha}(t; \Omega) \quad (92)$$

Eqs.(91) and (92) lead to the well known quantum fluctuation-Dissipation relation,

$$\frac{\chi_{\alpha\alpha}^{(+E)}(t)}{\chi_{\alpha\alpha}^{(-O)}(t)} = MT^* \quad (93)$$

with the effective temperature given by

$$T^* = \frac{1}{2} \hbar\Omega \cdot \coth\left(\frac{1}{2}\beta(t)\hbar\Omega\right) \quad (94)$$

$$= \begin{cases} T & (T \gg \hbar\Omega) \\ \frac{1}{2}\hbar\Omega & (T \ll \hbar\Omega) \end{cases} \quad (95)$$

VII. DISSIPATION COEFFICIENT FOR THE CALDEIRA-LEGGETT MODEL WITH A CUT-OFF FREQUENCY

In this section, we derive explicit expressions of the odd moment of the response function for the case of Ohmic dissipation of the Caldeira-Leggett model.

A. Collective motion in a flat potential or in a potential well

We first consider a collective motion in a flat potential or in a potential well. Using the definition of the odd moment of the response function given by eq.(43) and the expressions derived in sect.V for the response function, we obtain for the Ohmic dissipation

$$\chi_{\alpha\alpha}^{(-O)}(t) = \frac{1}{M} \left(\frac{df}{dq}\right)^2 \cdot \eta \cdot g(\Omega) \quad (96)$$

Note that the magnitude of the cut-off function $g(\Omega)$ is almost one as long as the cut-off frequency is much larger than the curvature parameter Ω of the bare potential of the collective motion. On the other hand, the corresponding $\chi_{\alpha\alpha}^{(+E)}(t)$ is given by

$$\chi_{\alpha\alpha}^{(+E)}(t) = \eta \cdot \left(\frac{df}{dq}\right)^2 \cdot \frac{1}{2} \hbar\Omega \cdot g(\Omega) \cdot \coth\left(\frac{1}{2}\beta(t)\hbar\Omega\right) \quad (97)$$

Eqs.(96) and (97) guarantee the fluctuation-dissipation theorem eq.(93).

B. Collective motion along a potential barrier

We next consider a collective motion along a potential barrier, where the curvature parameter C is negative. In this case, eqs.(43), (38) and (84) lead to

$$\chi_{\alpha\alpha}^{(-O)}(t) = \frac{2}{\pi} \eta \frac{1}{\sqrt{M|C|}} \left(\frac{df}{dq}\right)^2 \int_0^{t-t_0} d\tau \sinh(\Omega\tau) \int_0^\infty d\omega \sin\omega\tau \cdot \omega \cdot g(\omega) \quad (98)$$

for the case of Ohmic dissipation. For the Gaussian cut-off and the Drude regularization, it becomes,

$$\chi_{\alpha\alpha}^{(-O)}(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}}\eta\frac{1}{\sqrt{M|C|}}\left(\frac{df}{dq}\right)^2\omega_c^3\int_0^{t-t_0}d\tau\sinh(\Omega\tau)\cdot\tau\cdot e^{-\frac{1}{4}(\omega_c\tau)^2} & (Gaussian\ cut-off) \\ \eta\frac{1}{\sqrt{M|C|}}\left(\frac{df}{dq}\right)^2\omega_c^2\int_0^{t-t_0}d\tau\sinh(\Omega\tau)\cdot e^{-\omega_c\tau} & (Drude\ regularization) \end{cases} \quad (99)$$

Furthermore, by replacing the upper limit of the τ integral with ∞ , we obtain

$$\chi_{\alpha\alpha}^{(-O)}(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{M}\left(\frac{df}{dq}\right)^2\cdot\eta\cdot e^{(\frac{\Omega}{\omega_c})^2} & (Gaussian\ cut-off) \\ \frac{1}{M}\left(\frac{df}{dq}\right)^2\cdot\eta\cdot\frac{\omega_c^2}{(\omega_c-\Omega)(\omega_c+\Omega)} & (Drude\ regularization) \end{cases} \quad (100)$$

where $\omega_c > \Omega$ has been assumed for the case of the Drude regularization. These expressions resemble the result for the collective motion in a flat potential or in a potential well given by eq.(96). It is, however, interesting to notice that the effect of the frequency cut-off appears in a slightly different way.

The results in this section seem to indicate that the dissipation coefficient is almost independent of the temperature of the environmental space. However, this should not be taken as a general conclusion, but rather as a feature of the linear harmonic oscillator coupling model. For example, the friction coefficient, which is calculated in a random matrix model in [15] for describing energy dissipation in deep inelastic heavy-ion collisions, rapidly increases with temperature.

VIII. CONNECTION BETWEEN THE MOMENTS OF THE RESPONSE AND CORRELATION FUNCTIONS FOR THE DIFFUSION ALONG A POTENTIAL BARRIER

Similar expression to eq.(98) can be obtained for the moment of the correlation function by using eqs.(44), (37),(76) and (C4). It reads

$$\chi_{\alpha\alpha}^{(+E)}(t) = \frac{\hbar}{\pi}\eta\left(\frac{df}{dq}\right)^2\int_0^{t-t_0}d\tau\cosh(\Omega\tau)\int_0^\infty d\omega\cos\omega\tau\cdot\omega\cdot g(\omega)\cdot\frac{1+e^{-\beta(t)\hbar\omega}}{1-e^{-\beta(t)\hbar\omega}} \quad (101)$$

$$= \frac{\hbar}{\pi}\eta\left(\frac{df}{dq}\right)^2\frac{1}{2}\int_0^{t-t_0}d\tau\cosh(\Omega\tau)\int_{-\infty}^\infty d\omega\cos\omega\tau\cdot\omega\cdot g(\omega)\cdot\frac{1+e^{-\beta(t)\hbar\omega}}{1-e^{-\beta(t)\hbar\omega}} \quad (102)$$

$$= \frac{\hbar}{\pi}\eta\left(\frac{df}{dq}\right)^2\frac{1}{2}\int_0^{t-t_0}d\tau\cosh(\Omega\tau)\int_{-\infty}^\infty d\omega\cos\omega\tau\cdot\omega\cdot g(\omega)\cdot\coth\left\{\frac{\beta(t)\hbar\omega}{2}\right\} \quad (103)$$

We first perform the τ integral analytically, then the ω integral using Cauchy's residue theorem. The time integral introduces a pole at $\omega = \pm i\Omega$, and the last factor introduces series of poles associated with the Matsubara frequency $(2\pi/\hbar\beta)\cdot n_i$, n_i being an integer. There appears another pole at $\omega = \pm i\omega_c$ if we use the Drude regularization for the high frequency cut-off. The resultant formula reads

$$\chi_{\alpha\alpha}^{(+E)}(t) = \frac{\hbar}{\pi}\eta\left(\frac{df}{dq}\right)^2(Y_1 + Y_2 + Y_3) \quad (104)$$

with

$$Y_1 = -\frac{\pi}{4}\omega_c^2\cdot e^{-\omega_c(t-t_0)}\cdot\cot\left(\frac{1}{2}\beta(t)\hbar\omega_c\right)\left\{\frac{1}{\omega_c-\Omega}e^{\Omega(t-t_0)}+\frac{1}{\omega_c+\Omega}e^{-\Omega(t-t_0)}\right\} \quad (105)$$

$$Y_2 = \frac{\pi}{\hbar\beta(t)}\sum_{n=1,2,\dots}e^{-\frac{\pi\cdot 2n}{\hbar\beta(t)}(t-t_0)}\frac{\pi\cdot 2n}{\hbar\beta(t)}\cdot\frac{\omega_c^2}{\omega_c^2-(\frac{\pi\cdot 2n}{\hbar\beta(t)})^2}\left\{\frac{1}{\frac{\pi\cdot 2n}{\hbar\beta(t)}-\Omega}e^{\Omega(t-t_0)}+\frac{1}{\frac{\pi\cdot 2n}{\hbar\beta(t)}+\Omega}e^{-\Omega(t-t_0)}\right\} \quad (106)$$

$$Y_3 = \pi\cdot\frac{\omega_c^2}{\omega_c^2-\Omega^2}\cdot\frac{1}{2}\Omega\cdot\cot\left(\frac{1}{2}\beta(t)\hbar\Omega\right) \quad (107)$$

Note that the Y_1 term should be ignored in the limit of large ω_c . If $\omega_c \gg T \gg \Omega$, then keeping only $n=1$ term we have

$$Y_2 \sim \frac{\pi}{\hbar\beta(t)} e^{(\Omega - \frac{2\pi}{\hbar\beta(t)})(t-t_0)} \quad (108)$$

and

$$Y_3 \sim \frac{\pi}{\hbar\beta(t)} \quad (109)$$

If we keep only the Y_3 term in this case, asymptotically, i.e. as time goes to infinity, we have

$$\chi_{\alpha\alpha}^{(+E)}(t \rightarrow \infty) \sim \eta \left(\frac{df}{dq} \right)^2 \frac{1}{\beta(t)} \quad (110)$$

which together with eq.(100) leads to the classical fluctuation-dissipation theorem.

The even moment of the correlation function $\chi^{(+E)}(t)$ is the momentum diffusion coefficient. At low temperatures, a significant deviation in the diffusion coefficient from the classical value eq.(110) is expected from quantal effects [3]. In order to see this explicitly, in figure 5 we illustrate the normalized diffusion coefficient $Y(t) = [Y_1(t) + Y_2(t) + Y_3(t)] \frac{\hbar}{\pi}$ as a function of time t for several temperatures for the collective frequency $\hbar\Omega = 1.0$ MeV and the cut-off frequency $\hbar\omega_c = 20.0$ MeV. The horizontal lines show the classical values determined by temperature $Y_{clas} = T$. At high temperatures, the normalized diffusion coefficient monotonically increases with time and gradually approaches to its classical value. However, the behavior of the diffusion coefficient is very different at low temperatures. After a sharp rise, it undershoots the classical value and may even become negative for large times. We note that a similar behaviour of the diffusion coefficient has been discussed also in [3] in connection with non-Markovian effects.

IX. SUMMARY

We discussed the quantum effects on the transport coefficients for a large amplitude collective motion. To that end, we derived a Fokker Planck equation with non-Markovian transport coefficients based on a time dependent perturbation theory. We studied both cases, where the collective motion moves in a flat potential or in a potential well, and along a potential barrier. We have shown that our formalism naturally leads to the known generalized fluctuation-dissipation theorem which combines the thermal and quantal fluctuations when the bare potential is a potential well. In the case when the collective motion moves along a potential barrier, the well known classical behaviour of the moment of the correlation function which governs the fluctuation is realized only at high temperatures. Using a numerical evaluation of the moment of the correlation function, we demonstrated that it significantly deviates from the classical expectation at low temperatures, both with respect to the time dependence and the asymptotic value.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank M. Abe, A. Bulgac, P.G. Reinhardt and D.M. Brink for useful discussions. This work is supported in part by the Grand-in-Aid for Scientific Research from Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology under Grant No. 12047203 and No. 13640253, also under the Special Area Research, Contract number 08640380, and by the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science for Young Scientists under the contract No. 12006231, and by the US DOE grant No. DE-FG05-89ER40530. N.T. thanks Tennessee Technological University for support and hospitality during his visits.

APPENDIX A: DERIVATION OF THE REDUCED VON NEUMANN EQUATION IN THE GALILEI TRANSFORMED COORDINATE SYSTEM

In this Appendix, we explain the major steps to derive eq.(46) from eqs.(29) through (31) by the second order perturbation theory. We first multiply a parameter λ to the fluctuating force $\hat{f}$, and use it as a parameter of the perturbation theory.

As usual in the perturbation theory, we expand the reduced density operators as

$$\hat{D}_A(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda^n \hat{\mathcal{D}}_A^{(n)}(t) \quad (\text{A1})$$

$$\hat{D}_B(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda^n \hat{\mathcal{D}}_B^{(n)}(t) \quad (\text{A2})$$

$$\hat{D}_C(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda^n \hat{\mathcal{D}}_C^{(n)}(t) \quad (\text{A3})$$

To the zeroth order with respect to λ , we have

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \hat{\mathcal{D}}_A^{(0)}(t) = [\frac{\hat{p}^2}{2M} + \frac{1}{2}C(t)\hat{q}^2, \hat{\mathcal{D}}_A^{(0)}(t)] \quad (\text{A4})$$

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \hat{\mathcal{D}}_B^{(0)}(t) = 0 \quad (\text{A5})$$

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \hat{\mathcal{D}}_C^{(0)}(t) = [\frac{\hat{p}^2}{2M} + \frac{1}{2}C(t)\hat{q}^2, \hat{\mathcal{D}}_C^{(0)}(t)] \quad (\text{A6})$$

whose solutions are

$$\hat{\mathcal{D}}_A^{(0)}(t) = \hat{U}_A^{(0)}(t) \hat{\mathcal{D}}_A^{(0)}(t_0) [\hat{U}_A^{(0)}(t)]^\dagger \quad (\text{A7})$$

$$\hat{\mathcal{D}}_B^{(0)}(t) = \hat{\mathcal{D}}_B^{(0)}(t_0) \quad (\text{A8})$$

$$\hat{\mathcal{D}}_C^{(0)}(t) = \hat{U}_A^{(0)}(t) \hat{\mathcal{D}}_C^{(0)}(t_0) [\hat{U}_A^{(0)}(t)]^\dagger = 0 \quad (\text{A9})$$

with

$$\hat{U}_A^{(0)}(t) = T \{ \exp[\frac{1}{i\hbar} \int_{t_0}^t (\frac{\hat{p}^2}{2M} + \frac{1}{2}C(t_1)\hat{q}^2) dt_1] \} \quad (\text{A10})$$

where T is the time ordering operator. We have assumed that the two subspaces A and B are decoupled at $t = t_0$, so that $\hat{\mathcal{D}}_C^{(0)}(t_0) = 0$.

To the 1st order with respect to λ , we have

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \hat{\mathcal{D}}_A^{(1)}(t) = Tr_B[\hat{q} \cdot \hat{f}(t), \hat{\mathcal{D}}_C^{(0)}(t)] + [\frac{\hat{p}^2}{2M} + \frac{1}{2}C(t)\hat{q}^2, \hat{\mathcal{D}}_A^{(1)}(t)] \quad (\text{A11})$$

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \hat{\mathcal{D}}_B^{(1)}(t) = Tr_A[\hat{q} \cdot \hat{f}(t), \hat{\mathcal{D}}_C^{(0)}(t)] \quad (\text{A12})$$

$$\begin{aligned} i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \hat{\mathcal{D}}_C^{(1)}(t) &= [\hat{q} \cdot \hat{f}(t), \hat{\mathcal{D}}_A^{(0)}(t) \hat{\mathcal{D}}_B^{(0)}(t)] + [\hat{q} \cdot \hat{f}(t), \hat{\mathcal{D}}_C^{(0)}(t)] \\ &\quad - \hat{\mathcal{D}}_A^{(0)}(t) Tr_A[\hat{q} \cdot \hat{f}(t), \hat{\mathcal{D}}_C^{(0)}(t)] - \hat{\mathcal{D}}_B^{(0)}(t) Tr_B[\hat{q} \cdot \hat{f}(t), \hat{\mathcal{D}}_C^{(0)}(t)] \\ &\quad + [\frac{\hat{p}^2}{2M} + \frac{1}{2}C(t)\hat{q}^2, \hat{\mathcal{D}}_C^{(1)}(t)], \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A13})$$

where eq.(A13) can be solved by the method of variational constant by putting

$$\hat{\mathcal{D}}_C^{(1)}(t) = \hat{U}_A^{(0)}(t) \hat{C}(t) [\hat{U}_A^{(0)}(t)]^\dagger \quad (\text{A14})$$

The result reads

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathcal{D}}_C^{(1)}(t) &= \hat{U}_A^{(0)}(t) \hat{\mathcal{D}}_C^{(1)}(t_0) [\hat{U}_A^{(0)}(t)]^\dagger \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{i\hbar} \int_{t_0}^t dt_1 [\hat{U}_A^{(0)}(t, t_1) \hat{q} [\hat{U}_A^{(0)}(t, t_1)]^\dagger \cdot \hat{f}(t_1), \hat{U}_A^{(0)}(t, t_1) \hat{\mathcal{D}}_A^{(0)}(t_1) [\hat{U}_A^{(0)}(t, t_1)]^\dagger \hat{\mathcal{D}}_B^{(0)}(t_1)] \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A15})$$

with

$$\hat{U}_A^{(0)}(t, t_1) = \hat{U}_A^{(0)}(t) \hat{U}_A^{(0)}(t_1)^\dagger \quad (\text{A16})$$

Now we note that we have

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \hat{D}_A^{(2)}(t) = \text{Tr}_B[\hat{q} \cdot \hat{f}(t), \hat{D}_C^{(1)}(t)] + [\frac{\hat{p}^2}{2M} + \frac{1}{2}C(t)\hat{q}^2, \hat{D}_A^{(2)}(t)] \quad (\text{A17})$$

in the second order approximation. Note that

$$\hat{D}_A^{(2)}(t) = \hat{\mathcal{D}}_A^{(0)}(t) + \lambda \hat{\mathcal{D}}_A^{(1)}(t) + \lambda^2 \hat{\mathcal{D}}_A^{(2)}(t) \quad (\text{A18})$$

$$\hat{D}_C^{(1)}(t) = \hat{\mathcal{D}}_C^{(0)}(t) + \lambda \hat{\mathcal{D}}_C^{(1)}(t) \quad (\text{A19})$$

Eq.(A15) then leads to

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \hat{\mathcal{D}}_A^{(2)}(t) = \frac{1}{i\hbar} \int_{t_0}^t dt_1 \text{Tr}_B[\hat{q} \cdot \hat{f}(t), [\hat{U}_A^{(0)}(t, t_1) \hat{q} [\hat{U}_A^{(0)}(t, t_1)]^\dagger \cdot \hat{f}(t_1), \hat{U}_A^{(0)}(t, t_1) \hat{\mathcal{D}}_A^{(0)}(t_1) [\hat{U}_A^{(0)}(t, t_1)]^\dagger \hat{\mathcal{D}}_B^{(0)}(t_1)]] + [\frac{\hat{p}^2}{2M} + \frac{1}{2}C(t)\hat{q}^2, \hat{D}_A^{(2)}(t)] \quad (\text{A20})$$

$$\approx \frac{1}{i\hbar} \int_{t_0}^t dt_1 \text{Tr}_B[\hat{q} \cdot \hat{f}(t), [\hat{U}_A^{(0)}(t, t_1) \hat{q} [\hat{U}_A^{(0)}(t, t_1)]^\dagger \cdot \hat{f}(t_1), \hat{D}_A^{(2)}(t) \hat{D}_B^{(2)}(t_1)]] + [\frac{\hat{p}^2}{2M} + \frac{1}{2}C(t)\hat{q}^2, \hat{D}_A^{(2)}(t)] \quad (\text{A21})$$

The transformation from eq.(A20) to eq.(A21) is consistent with the second order perturbation theory. It is now straightforward to transform eq.(A21) into the form of eq.(46).

APPENDIX B: SYMMETRY PROPERTY OF THE SPECTRAL FUNCTION

The spectral function is given by

$$J_{\alpha,\beta}(t; \omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\tau e^{i\omega\tau} \text{Tr}_B(\hat{F}_\alpha(t) e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}\hat{h}_B(t)\tau} \hat{F}_\beta(t) e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}\hat{h}_B(t)\tau} \hat{\rho}_B(t)) \quad (\text{B1})$$

for a slow collective motion. We assume that the density operator of the bath space $\hat{\rho}_B(t)$ can be approximated by a canonical distribution $e^{\beta(t)(\mathcal{F} - \hat{h}_B(t))}$. The spectral function then reads

$$J_{\alpha,\beta}(t; \omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\tau e^{i\omega\tau} \text{Tr}_B(\hat{F}_\alpha(t) e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}\hat{h}_B(t)\tau} \hat{F}_\beta(t) e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}\hat{h}_B(t)\tau} e^{\beta(t)(\mathcal{F} - \hat{h}_B(t))}) \quad (\text{B2})$$

The key step is to insert two delta functions as follows

$$J_{\alpha,\beta}(t; \omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\tau e^{i\omega\tau} \text{Tr}_B(\hat{F}_\alpha(t) e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}\hat{h}_B(t)\tau} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dE \delta(E - \hat{h}_B(t)) \hat{F}_\beta(t) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dE' \delta(E' - \hat{h}_B(t)) e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}\hat{h}_B(t)\tau} e^{\beta(t)(\mathcal{F} - \hat{h}_B(t))}) \quad (\text{B3})$$

We then obtain

$$J_{\alpha,\beta}(t; \omega) = 2\pi i \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dE \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dE' \delta(E - E' - \hbar\omega) j_{\alpha,\beta}(E, E') \rho_{eq}(E') \quad (\text{B4})$$

where

$$j_{\alpha,\beta}(E, E') = \text{Tr}_B(\hat{F}_\alpha(t)\delta(E - \hat{h}_B(t))\hat{F}_\beta(t)\delta(E' - \hat{h}_B(t))) \quad (\text{B5})$$

$$\rho_{eq}(E) = e^{\beta(t)(\mathcal{F}-E)} \quad (\text{B6})$$

One can easily prove the following symmetry relations

$$j_{\beta,\alpha}(E', E) = j_{\alpha,\beta}(E, E') \quad (\text{B7})$$

$$[j_{\alpha,\beta}(E, E')]^* = j_{F_\alpha^\dagger, F_\beta^\dagger}(E', E) \quad (\text{B8})$$

We now consider

$$J_{\beta,\alpha}(t; \omega) = 2\pi i \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dE \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dE' \delta(E - E' - \hbar\omega) j_{\beta,\alpha}(E, E') \rho_{eq}(E') \quad (\text{B9})$$

Using eqs.(B7) and (B6) and interchanging the integration variables E and E' , one can prove that

$$J_{\beta,\alpha}(t; \omega) = e^{\beta(t)\hbar\omega} J_{\alpha,\beta}(t; -\omega) \quad (\text{B10})$$

This proves eq.(74) in the main text.

APPENDIX C: BASIC FORMULAE FOR THE FEYNMAN-VERNON-CALDEIRA-LEGGETT MODEL IN THE LINEAR RESPONSE THEORY

In this Appendix, we collect several basic formulae for the case, where the environment is represented by an aggregate of harmonic oscillators, and where the collective motion couples them linearly with respect to their coordinates [10,14]. The unperturbed Hamiltonian of the bath space $\hat{H}_B$, the coupling Hamiltonian $\hat{V}_c(q(t), \hat{x})$ and the force operator $\hat{F}_\alpha(q(t), \hat{x})$ read

$$\hat{H}_B = \sum_i \left(\frac{1}{2m_i} \hat{p}_i^2 + \frac{1}{2} m_i \omega_i^2 \hat{x}_i^2 \right) \quad (\text{C1})$$

$$\hat{V}_c(q(t), \hat{x}) = f(q(t)) \sum_i c_i \hat{x}_i \quad (\text{C2})$$

$$\hat{F}_\alpha(q(t), \hat{x}) = \frac{df(q(t))}{dq_\alpha} \sum_i c_i \{ \hat{x}_i - \text{Tr}(\hat{x}_i \hat{\rho}_B(t)) \} \quad (\text{C3})$$

In the linear response theory, we replace the $\hat{\rho}_B(t)$ and $\hat{h}_B(t)$ on the r.h.s. of eq.(70) by the canonical distribution $e^{\beta(t)(\mathcal{F}-\hat{H}_B)}$ and $\hat{H}_B$, respectively. Eqs.(C1) through (C3) then lead to

$$J_{\alpha\alpha}^{(CLLR)}(t; \omega) = 2\pi \cdot \left(\frac{df}{dq} \right)^2 \cdot \sum_i c_i^2 \frac{\hbar}{2m_i \omega_i} \left\{ \delta(\omega + \omega_i) \frac{e^{-\beta(t)\hbar\omega_i}}{1 - e^{-\beta(t)\hbar\omega_i}} + \delta(\omega - \omega_i) \frac{1}{1 - e^{-\beta(t)\hbar\omega_i}} \right\} \quad (\text{C4})$$

One can easily prove that this expression satisfies the symmetry property eq.(74). It would be physically reasonable to assume that all ω_i are positive. Then, for $\omega > 0$, the relevant part of the spectral function is

$$J_{\alpha\alpha}^{(CLLR)}(t; \omega) = 2\pi \cdot \left(\frac{df}{dq} \right)^2 \cdot \sum_i c_i^2 \frac{\hbar}{2m_i \omega_i} \delta(\omega - \omega_i) \frac{1}{1 - e^{-\beta(t)\hbar\omega_i}} \quad (\omega > 0) \quad (\text{C5})$$

We now define the spectral density $J(\omega)$ by

$$J(\omega) = \sum_i c_i^2 \frac{1}{m_i \omega_i} \delta(\omega - \omega_i) \quad (\text{C6})$$

Following [10], we assume that

$$J(\omega) = \frac{2}{\pi} \eta \frac{1}{\omega_0^{n-1}} \omega^n g(\omega) \quad (\text{C7})$$

The cases of $n=1$ and $n=3$ correspond to the Ohmic and super Ohmic dissipations, respectively. In eq.(C7), we have introduced ω_0 in order to keep the dimension of η independent of n . $g(\omega)$ is the cut-off function, for which we assume two-types of functional forms

$$g(\omega) = g_1(\omega) = e^{-\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_c}\right)^2} \quad (\text{C8})$$

$$g(\omega) = g_2(\omega) = \frac{1}{1 + \left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_c}\right)^2}. \quad (\text{C9})$$

We call the first and the second the Gaussian cut off and the Drude regularization, respectively.

APPENDIX D: GENERAL EXPRESSION OF THE M-TH MOMENT OF THE RESPONSE FUNCTION FOR THE CALDEIRA-LEGGETT MODEL

We consider

$$\chi_n^{(-m)}(t) = \frac{2}{\pi} \eta \left(\frac{df}{dq} \right)^2 \frac{1}{\omega_0^{n-1}} \int_0^\infty d\tau \tau^m \int_0^\infty d\omega \sin \omega \tau \cdot \omega^n \cdot g(\omega) \quad (\text{D1})$$

where both n and m are integers; $n, m=1, 2, \dots$. This will be the m -th moment of the response function if the spectral density is given by

$$\sum_i c_i^2 \frac{1}{m_i \omega_i} \delta(\omega - \omega_i) = \frac{2}{\pi} \eta \frac{1}{\omega_0^{n-1}} \omega^n g(\omega) \quad (\text{D2})$$

One can show

$$\chi_n^{(-m)}(t) = \eta \left(\frac{df}{dq} \right)^2 \frac{1}{\omega_0^{n-1}} (-1)^{\left[\frac{m}{2}\right]+1} \int_{-\infty}^\infty d\omega \omega^n \cdot g(\omega) \cdot \frac{d^m}{d\omega^m} \delta(\omega) \quad (\text{D3})$$

$$= \eta \left(\frac{df}{dq} \right)^2 \frac{1}{\omega_0^{n-1}} (-1)^{\left[\frac{m}{2}\right]+1} (-1)^m \left[\frac{d^m}{d\omega^m} \{ \omega^n g(\omega) \} \right]_{\omega=0} \quad (\text{D4})$$

Epecially,

$$\chi_1^{(-1)}(t) = \eta \left(\frac{df}{dq} \right)^2 g(0) \quad (\text{D5})$$

$$\chi_3^{(-1)}(t) = 0 \quad (\text{D6})$$

Eq.(D5) agrees with the results eq.(61) together with eqs.(96) and (100). On the other hand, eq.(D6) means that there exists no linear friction in the case of super Ohmic dissipation. Eq.(D4) shows that the lowest order friction is n -th order friction if the spectral density is proportional to ω^n as given in eq.(D2) and the friction coefficient is given by the n -th moment of the response function.

- [2] L.D. Landau and E.M. Lifshitz, Statistical Physics, Part 1, 3rd edition (Butterworth/Heinemann, 1997, Oxford) p. 384
- [3] S. Ayik and J. Randrup, Phys. Rev. C50(1994) 2947.
- [4] H. Hofmann and F.A. Ivanyuk, Phys. Rev. Letts. 82(1999) 4603.
- [5] S. Ayik, O. Yilmaz, A. Gokalp and P. Schuck, Phys. Rev. C58(1998) 1594.
- [6] H.A. Kramers, Physica VII,no.4 (1940) 284.
- [7] Y. Abe, et al., AIP conference series Vol.597(2001) p.209.
- [8] N. Takigawa, K. Niita, Y. Okuhara and S. Yoshida, Nucl. Phys. A371 (1981), p.130.
- [9] Statistical Mechanics, eds. M. Toda and R. Kubo (Iwanami, Tokyo, 1978).
- [10] A.O. Caldeira and A.J. Leggett, PRL 46(1981)211 ;Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) 149(1983) 374.
- [11] R. Becker, Theorie der Wärme (Springer-Verlag, Berlin,1978) p.288
- [12] L. van Hove, Physica 21(1955) 517.
- [13] D. Agassi, C.M. Co and H. Weidenmüller, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) 107(1977)140;117(1979)404.
- [14] R.P. Feynmann and F.L. Vernon, Ann. Phys. (NY) 24(1963)118; R.P. Feynman “Statistical Mechanics”(Benjamin, Reading, Mass.,1972); R.P. Feynman and A.R. Hibbs, “Quantum Mechanics and Path Integrals” (Mc Graw-Hill, New York,1965)
- [15] K. Niita and N. Takigawa, Nucl. Phys. A397 (1983), p.141-160.

Figure captions

Figure 1. The normalized correlation function $\chi^{(+)}(\tau)$ as a function of τ for three values of the cut-off frequency and for a temperature $T=1$ MeV. The horizontal dashed line shows the value e^{-1} .

Figure 2. The normalized correlation function $\chi^{(+)}(\tau)$ as a function of τ for three values of temperature and for a cut-off frequency $\hbar\omega_c = 20.0$ MeV. The horizontal dashed line shows the value e^{-1} .

Figure 3. Memory time of the correlation function $\tau_c^{(+)}$ as a function of cut-off frequency $\hbar\omega_c$ for three values of temperature.

Figure 4. Memory time of the correlation function $\tau_c^{(+)}$ as a function of temperature for three values of the cut-off frequency $\hbar\omega_c$.

Figure 5. The normalized diffusion coefficient $Y(t)$ as a function of t for several values of temperature and for a collective frequency $\hbar\Omega = 1.0$ MeV and a cut-off frequency $\hbar\omega_c = 20.0$ MeV. The horizontal dashed lines show the corresponding classical values.









